FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICE Departmental Program Structure and Outcome Measures

VISION:

The Vision of the Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Service is to keep our communities safe and healthy by providing comprehensive and effective life safety and property protection services through diverse partnerships.

MISSION:

The Mission of the combined and integrated Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Service is to protect life, property, and the environment by providing

- · Comprehensive emergency medical, fire, and disaster prevention/educational programs, and
- Effective and efficient readiness, response, and emergency management

through skilled, motivated, and compassionate service providers.

GUIDING PRINCIPLES:

Montgomery County's Fire and Rescue Service providers will:

- Serve with integrity and mutual respect
- · Recognize the importance of diversity in our workforce and communities
- Promote the efficient and effective utilization of our resources
- Deliver services to our customers with impartiality and excellence
- Promote the highest standards of safety and welfare
- Be responsible for the honor of our profession and the public service we provide
- Maintain and promote open communication, creativity, and competence
- · Be accountable and ethical
- Continuously improve public confidence and trust

PROGRAMS:

- Administrative Services
- Community Risk Reduction Services
- Operations
- · Wellness, Safety, and Training
- Volunteer Services

FY06 RESOURCES:

- 34 fire-rescue stations
- 930 IECS (Integrated Emergency Command Structure)-certified career fire fighter-rescuers
- 665 IECS-certified volunteer fire fighter-rescuers
- 32 front-line^a engines, engine-tankers, and quints^b
- 46 front-line emergency medical services units (29 ambulances and 17 medic units)
- 14 front-line aerial units (ladder trucks and aerial towers)
- 9 front-line heavy rescue squads
- 6 front-line tankers
- 13 front-line brush units
- 9 front-line rescue boats
- · Hazmat, bomb squad, water rescue, and collapse rescue specialty teams
- Command, air, canteen, utility, decontamination, and firefighter rehabilitation units

KEY SERVICE FACTORS (CY2005):

- 100,185 fire-rescue incidents; approximately 78% involved emergency medical services
- 194,856 individual unit responses an average of 534 per day
- 21 units with more than 2,500 responses per year (16 emergency medical services units, 5 engines)
- A fire-rescue unit was dispatched every 2.7 minutes (on average)

FY03 ACTUAL	FY04 ACTUAL	FY05 ACTUAL	FY06 BUDGET	FY07 CE REC
78.8	75.1	^d 52.8	77.3	75
6	5	7	0	0
25.0	44.2	°48.6	34.5	50
25.6	31.3			35
76.5	70.0			70
52.0	48.0	1	5	10
167,000		102.341	125,050	107,720
	78.8 6 25.0 25.6 76.5 52.0	ACTUAL ACTUAL 78.8 75.1 6 5 25.0 44.2 25.6 31.3 76.5 70.0 52.0 48.0	ACTUAL ACTUAL ACTUAL 78.8 75.1 degree 52.8 6 5 7 25.0 44.2 degree 48.6 25.6 31.3 33.3 76.5 70.0 72.4 52.0 48.0 1	ACTUAL ACTUAL ACTUAL BUDGET 78.8 75.1 d52.8 77.3 6 5 7 0 25.0 44.2 d48.6 34.5 25.6 31.3 33.3 35.2 76.5 70.0 72.4 65.4 52.0 48.0 1 5

<u>Notes</u>

a"Front-line" refers to units that are regularly staffed and are shown as available for dispatch in the Computer-Aided Dispatch System.

°911 calls come into the Police Emergency Communications Center (ECC), which serves as the County's Primary Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP). If the Police ECC cannot pick up within 30 seconds, the call is automatically transferred to the Fire-Rescue ECC as the Secondary PSAP. This process takes about 45 seconds, so it is virtually impossible for the Fire-Rescue ECC to answer a high percentage of those "unsorted" 911 calls within the one-minute COMAR standard (which was written primarily for the Primary PSAP). The FY03 and FY04 figures shown above include only "sorted" calls that were answered by the primary PSAP and quickly transferred to the FIre-Rescue ECC because the caller specified a need for fire/rescue services. The FY05 - FY07 figures include all emergency calls (per the intent of the COMAR standard) - "sorted" calls and the longer hold-time "unsorted" calls - that are transferred from the Police ECC to the FIre-Rescue ECC.

The FY05 fire loss was higher than anticipated due to eight incidents with high dollar losses that totaled \$21.7 million (averaging \$2.7 million each). Three of those fires each had losses greater than \$4 million. If these eight high loss fires are excluded, the total FY05 loss for all other fires comes to \$26.9 million.

^bA "quint" is a single vehicle that combines the capabilities of an engine and an aerial unit.

^dThis percentage fell because the new records management system changed the way in which the data are collected.

PROGRAM:

Community Risk Reduction Services

PROGRAM ELEMENT:

Child Passenger Safety Seat Program^a

PROGRAM MISSION:

To inspect child passenger safety seats to ensure that they are properly installed and used in vehicles

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

- · Prevent injury and ensure the safety of children
- · Educate the automotive industry, citizens, and families about the avoidance of risks and hazards
- Provide responsive government

PROGRAM MEASURES	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07
	ACTUAL ^b	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET ^a	CE REC
Outcomes/Results:					
Number of child safety seat installations found to be defective	8,360	6,564	6,268	6,825	6,300
Percentage of safety seat installations found to be defective	90	73	74	78	75
Percentage of defective safety seats corrected	100	100	100	100	100
Service Quality:		*			100
Percentage of clients satisfied with serviced	100	100	100	100	100
Number of seats installed by program staff ^e that had to be redone	0	0	0	0	0
Efficiency:				*	
Number of child safety seats inspected per workyear	1,019	1,447	1,467	3,150	1,455
Cost per child safety seat inspected (\$)	65.82	58.05	56.60	32.06	69.00
Number of persons trained per workyear	47.1	70.0	64.0	135.0	54.0
Workload/Outputs:			0 1.10	100.0	
Seats checked					
By program staff ^e	2,446	2,894	2,933	3,150	4,592
By others ^f	<u>6,843</u>	6,102	5,537	<u>5,600</u>	3,808
TOTAL	9,289	8,996	8,470	8,750	8,400
Persons trained	113	140	127	135	105
Community outreach efforts ^g	12,562	13,971	14,016	15,000	13,000
Inputs:					10,000
Expenditures (\$000)	161	168	166	101	110
Workyears	2.4	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0
Notes:					


Notes:

^fSeats are also inspected by other government staff (Fire and Rescue Service station personnel, police officers, emergency nurses), private automotive dealerships, etc.

⁹Includes phone calls, presentations, child-birth classes, and consultations.

EXPLANATION:

In FY00, a separate program for inspecting the installation of child safety seats was established within the Consumer Protection Division of the Department of Housing and Community Affairs. In FY01, four additional workyears were dedicated to expansion of training and inspection for child safety seat installation and use. In FY06, this program was transferred to the Fire and Rescue Service.



PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES: Local automotive dealerships, Police Department, other Fire and Rescue Service staff, Department of Health and Human Services, Emergency Nurses Association, Gaithersburg City Police, Holy Cross Hospital, Rockville City Police.

MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES: National Safe Kids Coalition, National Highway Transportation Safety Administration (NHTSA) Guidelines.

^aIn FY06, this program was transferred from the Department of Housing and Community Affairs to the Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Service.

^bSome FY03 outcome, efficiency, and workload measures were corrected using improved information.

^cThese figures were estimated by extrapolating the results for inspectors from this program to staff from other departments that are performing child safety seat inspections.

^dBased on a survey provided to all clients.

^eFor FY03 - FY05, the staff for this program were part of the Department of Housing and Community Affairs. As of FY06, program staff became part of the FIre and Rescue Service.

PROGRAM:

Community Risk Reduction Services

PROGRAM ELEMENT:

Fire and Explosive Investigations/Bomb Squad

PROGRAM MISSION:

To identify hazards and dangerous products that cause accidental and incendiary fires, to safely mitigate explosive-related incidents, to identify and bring to justice those persons responsible for arson and explosive-related crimes, and to continue to provide fire/arson/explosive awareness training while working in partnership with the community to improve the quality of life within the County

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

- Protection of lives and property of County residents, businesses, and visitors
- Respect for the law
- An informed community concerning fire/arson/explosive awareness
- Responsive government
- Efficient and effective fire and rescue services

PROGRAM MEASURES	FY03 ACTUAL	FY04 ACTUAL	FY05 ACTUAL	FY06 BUDGET	FY07 CE REC
Outcomes/Results:		NOTOAL	AOTOAL	DODGE!	CE REC
Number of individuals arrested	79	36	35	38	40
Total estimated loss due to incendiary fires investigated (\$000)	2,474	2,467	2,533	2,981	3,011
Percentage of investigated incendiary dollar loss closed	21	21	23	2,361	27
Percentage of fires for which a cause was determined	87	86	88	88.5	89
Service Quality:				00.5	08
Closure rate for criminal incidents ^a (%)	33.0	23.3	23.0	22.6	17.6
Average elapsed time on explosive incidents (hours) ^b	4.2	5.7	3.0	5.5	
Percentage of investigators certified as Hazardous Devices	90	90	100	95	4.9
Technicians ^c		00	100	90	70
Efficiency:				 	
Average number of incidents investigated/mitigated per workyear ^c	65.4	58.5	68.8	58.3	60.0
Percentage of time spent on:	00.1	30.5	00.0	56.3	60.0
Origin and cause investigation	19	20	20	20	20
Criminal investigation	20	25	23	26	30
Suspicious packages (explosive, chemical/biological)	10	20	15	22	25
Community outreach ^d	5	10	12	8	20
Administration (court time, meetings, training, report writing, etc.)	46	25	30	25	22
Workload/Outputs:	<u></u> :			23	
Number of fire incidents investigated	349	397	342	362	358.5
Number of criminal incidents investigated	157	163	189	141	336.3 157
Number of explosive-related incidents investigated	305	188	157	241	167
Number of devices found and mitigated	36	17	16	22.5	= -
Number of criminal cases closed	52	38	43	22.5 31	12.5
Inputs:				31	26.5
Expenditures (\$000)	1,421	1,805	1,609	1,810	1.040
Workyears	13.6	13.4	13.4	13.4	1,810
Notes:		10.4	10.4	13.4	13.4

EXPLANATION:

This program is responsible for conducting all fire and explosive criminal investigations. In addition, the program is strongly committed to providing proactive, community-based educational programs where citizen involvement is encouraged. The program continues to have a closure rate for arson cases well above the national average of 16 - 18%. Emphasis is placed on investigating those incidents where a large loss has occurred or where witnesses and/or evidence are found on the scene. The program is also responsible for operating a Bomb Squad that responds to bomb/explosive-related incidents and all acts of terrorism.

PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives; FBI; Montgomery County Hazardous Incident Response Team; Montgomery County Police; Montgomery County Department of Homeland Security; state and local law enforcement agencies; Fire Code Enforcement Section; local fire marshal offices; Montgomery County Public Schools; Consumer Product Safety Commission; State's Attorney; insurance industry; news media.

MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES: Montgomery County Fire Safety Code, Maryland Criminal Procedures Article, Public Safety Article, National Fire Protection Association Standards 921 and 1033, Federal Explosive Laws.

^aMost criminal incidents involve arson or explosives.

^bMeasured from the time of notification of the incident until the incident has been mitigated. Changes in the responses to these incidents and in the procedures employed have contributed to an increase in average elapsed time over the years.

^cBased on a staff of 10 Fire/Explosive Investigators.

dArson awareness/prevention, bomb threat assessment, fire/injury prevention, bomb search procedure, juvenile fire setting intervention. There have been fewer requests for such programs, the longer it has been since 9/11.

PROGRAM:

Community Risk Reduction Services

PROGRAM ELEMENT:

Fire and Rescue Prevention and Public Education

PROGRAM MISSION:

To provide life safety education to children and adults in order to prevent or mitigate injuries and incidents

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

- Protection of the lives and property of County residents and visitors through safety and prevention programs
- Promotion of a culture of preparedness and prevention within the County
- Improvement of the health, safety, and well-being of children and adults

PROGRAM MEASURES	FY03 ACTUAL	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07
Outcomes/Results:	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	CE REC
Number of civilian fire deaths in structures	6	-	_		
Total fire loss (\$000)	24,994	5	7	0	0
Number of people reached with fire safety/injury prevention message ^b	500,000	*44,200	^a 48,638	34,500	0
Number of child car safety seats installed and adjusted ^c	500,000	200,000	100,000	100,000	100,000
Service Quality:	300	600	638	400	700
Percentage of customers satisfied with:					
Safety in Our Neighborhood programs	90	100	100		
Risk Watch Injury Prevention program	100	100	100	100	100
Percentage of juvenile offenders completing Operation Extinguish	83	77		100	100
Efficiency:			100	100	100
Cost per person reached with life safety information (\$)	0.71	1.78	3.60	4.04	
Persons reached with life safety information per program workyear	238,095	95.238	48.780	4.31	4.31
Workload/Outputs:	200,000	33,236	40,780	26,316	27,000
Number of homes approached for the Safety in Our Neighborhood Program	20,000	^b 10,000	5,000	F 000	
Number of home visits where personal contact was made	15,000	⁶ 5,000	2,500	5,000	5,000
Number of smoke alarms and batteries installed during home visits	1,700	⁶ 437	2,500 500	3,000	3,000
Number of home safety inspections conducted	400	⁶ 200	500 50	600 50	200
Number of commercial building evacuation planning sessions	125	^b 38	50		40
Number of schools participating in Risk Watch activities	50	52	55 55	50	40
Number of CERT classes offered ^d	1	3	2	58	60
Number of persons trained in CERT ^d	30	60	30	4 60	10
Number of juvenile offenders referred to Operation Extinguish	30	22	40	35	210
Number of juveniles completing Operation Extinguish	25	17	40	35 35	50
Number of File of Life senior safety prevention packets distributed®	10,000	10.000	9,000	15,000	50
Number of safety e-Newsletter subscribers ^e	1,200	1,500	1,800	,	15,000
Inputs:	1,200	1,000	1,000	2,000	2,100
Expenditures (\$000)	353	355	360	431	40.1
Workyears	2.1	2.1	2.1	431 3.8	431
Notes:				3.8	3.8

The FY04 and FY05 increases in fire loss resulted from a significant number of multi-million dollar structure fires, most notably the FY04 Trolley Museum fire which involved a \$10 million loss. The increased fire loss is also being fueled by higher residential property assessments, the aging of the County's housing stock, longer delays before calling 911, and the increase in (and aging of) the County's population.

The number of persons reached is computed from the total number of personal interactions, home visits, e-Newsletters distributed, handouts printed and distributed, persons attending events, etc. The downward trend after FY03 reflects pressures on the Fire and Rescue Service (FRS) budget. Historically, staffing support for community outreach has been supplemented by career fire and rescue staff working on overtime status. Budgetary constraints have resulted in the capping of overtime (which precludes the use of FRS personel in that capacity) and in the elimination of the publication budget for community outreach (printed literature is especially important when no personal contact is made and literature must be left at the door).

°The figures for FY03 and FY04 are estimates due to incomplete reporting by those installing and adjusting car seats. An effective reporting mechanism was implemented in FY05. However, it is still not clear whether the installations and adjustments are being accurately recorded at the stations.

This is modelled after the Federal Emergency Management Agency's CERT (Community Emergency Response Teams) program and involves training County residents to be better prepared for hazards that threaten their communities. Participants serve as community safety advocates and augment the response capability of the Fire and Rescue Service in the aftermath of a major disaster or emergency when first responders may be overwhelmed or unable to respond due to communication or transportation difficulties. This program is totally grant funded.

The FY03 and FY04 budget cuts and cost savings plans led to a significant reduction in the printing and publication budget, with a corresponding reduction in printed materials available for distribution and a greater focus on web-based distribution of safety information.

EXPLANATION:

The Fire and Rescue Service provides a variety of life safety educational services to the public, including Safety in Our Neighborhood (which involves home and community visits by station personnel), Risk Watch (a fire safety curriculum for children in grades K - 8 in partnership with the Montgomery County Public Schools), Learn to Be Safe (a child safety program addressing safe bicycling, safe swimming, pedestrian safety, and car occupancy safety), health care workshops, Operation Extinguish (which focuses on juvenile offenders who have been involved with fire-setting, fireworks, pipe bombs, and/or tripping fire alarms), and Business, Residential, School, and Institutional Safety Training (which includes assistance in developing fire evacuation procedures). The Car Safety Seat Program provides child safety seats to families and training on the proper installation and use of those seats. In addition, the County's local fire and rescue departments provide the public with information about fire prevention and develop programs to increase public awareness of fire prevention. These efforts - undertaken by personnel from local fire and rescue departments; the Montgomery County Public Schools; the departments of Health and Human Services, Public Libraries, and Housing and Community Affairs, as well as other organizations - are encouraged and facilitated by Fire and Rescue Prevention and Education staff, who design handouts, compose and publish the safety e-Newsletter, and provide training to these and other individuals and groups, while undertaking their own presentations and workshops to inform the public about fire and

PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES: local fire and rescue departments; area police departments; National SAFE KIDS Foundation; Department of Housing and Community Affairs; Department of Health and Human Services; Montgomery County Public Libraries; Montgomery County Public Schools; various private and home schools; local Chambers of Commerce; the insurance industry; Crysalis Group Inc.; Maryland Department of Juvenile Justice; Federal Emergency Management Agency; National Fire Protection Association.

MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES: Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Medical Services Master Plan.

PROGRAM:

Community Risk Reduction Services

PROGRAM ELEMENT:

Fire Code Enforcement

PROGRAM MISSION:

To provide a living and working environment free from fire and life safety hazards through enforcement of the Fire Safety Code

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

- Protection of the lives and property of County citizens
- Responsive government

PROGRAM MEASURES	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07
Outcomes/Results:	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	CE REC
Percentage of enforcement inspections with no fire code violations foundah	NA	NIA.	•	_	
Total number of occupancies found to have fire code violations ^{a,h}	NA NA	NA	2	2	:
Total violations found ^{ah}		NA	20,600	20,600	20,600
Number of fires where a code violation affected the incident outcome ^a	NA NA	NA	61,800	61,800	61,800
Percentage of development plans submitted without Fire Department access problems ^b	NA NA	NA	304	300	30
Total square footage of commercial space approved for occupancy (100,000 sq. ft.) ^a		NA	NA	NA	25
Percentage of total structural value damaged by fire in sprinklered buildings ^c	NA NA	NA	NA	250	250
Percentage of total structural value damaged by fire in non-sprinklered buildings°	NA	NA	0.05	0.05	0.0
Service Quality:	NA	NA	2.7	2.7	2.70
Percentage of enforcement violations remedied within 30 days ^{ah}					
Percentage of violations that result in the issuance of a civil citation ^a	NA	NA	50	50	75
Percentage of inspector noted violations overturned on review ^a	NA	NA	1	1	1
Percentage of new construction inspections completed within target turn-around time	NA	NA	0	1	1
Percentage of Fire Deparatment access problems resolved within the Maryland-National	NA	NA	90	95	60
Capital Park and Planning Commission planning cycle ^b	NA	NA	NA	NA	90
Percentage of surveyed customers that rate service as satisfactory or better		_			
Percentage of inspectors certified as NFPA Fire Inspector II ^d	⁹ NA	^g NA	⁹ 95	95	95
Efficiency:	90	80	85	100	100
Average cost per inspection (\$)					
Average number of inspections per inspector per day	NA	99	103	120	104
Average time per inspection (hours) ^a	NA	7.1	^{k,m} 8.3	7.8	^k 9.5
Average number of work hours per complex structure inspected ^a	NA	NA	1.3	1.1	1,1
Average number of work hours per complex structure inspected. Average number of non-inspection customer contacts per staff day	NA	NA	121	234	350
Percentage of inspector time spent on: ^a	NA	NA	47	31	35
Inspections					
Administration	86	65	75	80	80
Workload/Outputs:	14	135	25	20	20
Number of enforcement inspections ^a					
Number of new occupancy inspections ^a	^h 2,000	1,200	2,760	4,000	^k 2,760
Number of new construction inspections ^a	h3,000	4,720	5,120	4,500	^k 5,120
Number of permits and licenses inspections ^a	^h 1,000	1,580	^k 6,250	1,800	5,800
Number of systems inspections/tests ^{a,e}	^h 2,500	3,400	3,250	3,800	^m 3,690
Number of systems inspections/tests ** Number of overtime inspections ⁿ	^h 4,000	^k 5,200	^k 6,250	6,750	^k 6,750
Number of permits issued	NA	NA	NA	NA	300
	NA	400	330	400	400
Number of new development plans reviewed ^b Number of non-inspection customer contacts	NA	NA	NA	NA	612
Total training hours provided	NA	62,700	196,000	130,000	180,000
Inputs:	300	816	430	400	f1,600
Expenditures (\$000)					
Workyears	1,241	^k 1,599	^k 2,406	2,509	2,509
Notes:	12.7	k18.4	^k 21.6	21.6	21.6

An electronic reporting platform to capture this information accurately has been purchased, but hardware problems have delayed implementation.

Reflects a significant increase in commercial construction and required inspections; resources have been redirected to meet the demand. Inspections are scheduled 3-4 weeks in advance. Beginning in FY04, time spent completing reports is included in Administration.

*Reflects an increase in inspections due to the residential sprinkler program that started in the last quarter of FY04. Failure rates remain high and continue to severely impact other programatic areas such as enforcement, resulting in higher than expected new construction, new occupancy, and overtime inspection demands.

The increase reflects 640 hours provided by the Maryland State Fire Marshall for code updates and required training for new inspectors to implement the residential sprinkler program. Does not include continuing education required to maintain Fire Inspector II certification.

mincludes private education facilities, day care, adult day care, group homes, hospitals, domiciliary care, and permitted hood and duct kitchen systems.

"New measure for FY07. Overtime inspections affect a number of other measures such as the average cost per inspection and the number of inspections per day.

EXPLANATION:

The Office of Fire Code Enforcement provides new construction and new occupancy inspections and system testing for all commercial and residential occupancies in the County to ensure compliance with applicable fire and life safety laws and code requirements prior to occupancy or opening for business. Service is provided on demand to allow the greatest degree of flexibility in meeting customer needs. Enforcement inspections are conducted in response to specific complaints received from other agencies, fire and rescue field units, and the general public.

PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES: Department of Permitting Services, Department of Environmental Protection, Department of Health and Human Services, Department of Economic Development, Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, Housing Opportunities Commission, Montgomery County Public Schools, Maryland State Fire Marshal, Maryland Child Care Administration, cities of Rockville and Gaithersburg.

MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES: Montgomery County Fire Safety Code, National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 101 and associated NFPA standards, Article 38A Fire Laws of Maryland.

^bReflects new responsibility for plan review and site visits to ensure Fire Department access.

[°]This figure reflects 9 months of data in FY05. There is no indication that these number will shift significantly in FY06 and FY07.

⁴The State requires inspectors to be certified to level I. Montgomery County certifies to level II so that inspectors can evaluate more complex issues in the field.

^{*}Systems inspections/tests include the testing of fire alarms, sprinklers, standpipes, and other fire suppression devices.

Includes Fire Inspector II certification continuing education hours, training of four new personnel, and department-wide annual recertification requirements.

⁹The customer survey was not conducted in FY03 and FY04. A survey mechanism has been in place since FY05.

hEstimated

PROGRAM:

PROGRAM ELEMENT:

Operations

Field Staffing - Emergency Response to Fire-Rescue Incidents

PROGRAM MISSION:

To provide timely and effective emergency medical and fire suppression services to protect citizens and property

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

- Protection of the public in the event of incidents involving injury, cardiac or respiratory distress, bleeding, shock, acute illness, and other incidents requiring emergency medical services
- Protection of persons and property in the event of incidents involving fire, explosion, hazmat incidents, and related emergencies

PROGRAM MEASURES	FY03 ACTUAL	FY04 ACTUAL	FY05 ACTUAL	FY06 BUDGET	FY07 CE REC
Outcomes/Results:	ACTOAL	ACTOAL	AOTOAL	BODGLI	CE NEC
Percentage of structure fires with flames confined to room of origin	78.8	75.1	^d 52.8	77.3	77.0
Service Quality:					
Percentage of basic life support responses < 6 min. in Urban Area	75.4	59.0	66.0	68.8	65.0
Percentage of basic life support responses < 6 min. in Suburban Area	62.7	44.0	48.8	49.6	45.0
Percentage of basic life support responses < 6 min. in Rural Area	48.4	35.0	38.0	39.6	35.0
Percentage of advanced life support responses < 8 min. in Urban Area	84.7	71.0	69.1	78.2	75.0
Percentage of advanced life support responses < 8 min. in Suburban Area	76.8	59.0	61.4	74.8	70.0
Percentage of advanced life support responses < 8 min. in Rural Area	65.3	54.0	56.1	64.1	60.0
Percentage of fire responses < 6 min. in Urban Area	69.5	62.0	71.1	66.3	60.0
Percentage of fire responses < 6 min. in Suburban Area	44.1	36.0	44.2	41.0	40.0
Percentage of fire responses < 6 min. in Rural Area	39.2	28.0	31.2	35.4	30.0
Efficiency:			01.2	33.4	
Patients treated per year per primary ^a emergency medical services unit	1,350	1,200	823	1,247	1,350
Average number of structure fires extinguished per front-line ^a engine or truck	11.8	11.1	12.5	11.2	12.0
Workload/Outputs:					
Number of responses to basic life support incidents	47,274	39,049	40,418	40,598	41,000
Number of responses to advanced life support incidents	25,445	35,620	30,894	32,588	35,000
Number of responses to structural fires	1,725	1,612	1,534	1,626	1,700
Number of responses to other incidents ^b	25,114	24,903	25,662	26,272	27,000
Total responses to incidents	99,558	101,184	98,508	101,084	102,000
Average number of incidents per day	273	277	270	276	
Average number of unit responses per day	544	596	479	581	280 500
Number of patients treated	56,704	51,627	34.563	51,158	52,000
Number of patients transported	50,028	44,954	30,525	43,194	44,000
Inputs:	-		,	,	1-1,500
Expenditures - emergency operations (\$000)	75,845	83,453	87,213	100,717	105,149
Career workyears	866.1	919.9	866.1	877.9	900.0
Response active volunteers ^c	379	392	442	448	460
Notes:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

EXPLANATION:

Response time is the elapsed time from the 911 call to arrival of Fire and Rescue Service units. During FY00, response time goals were established for urban, suburban, and rural areas, with goals being most stringent for the Urban Zone and least stringent for the Rural Zone. Response to emergency medical services and fire incidents is a product of cooperative efforts by both the career and volunteer elements of the Service to meet County Council-adopted response time goals.

PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES: Maryland Institute of Emergency Medical Services Systems, hospitals, mutual aid departments, State Fire Marshal's Office, Maryland Emergency Management Agency, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives.

MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES: Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Medical Services Master Plan.

^aPrimary and front-line units are units that are regularly staffed and are shown as available for dispatch in the Computer-Aided Dispatch

b"Other incidents" are non-structure fires and/or miscellaneous alarm calls (alarm bells, automatic fire alarms, automatic home fire alarms, etc.).

^cVolunteers who have responded to a sufficient number of annual incidents to earn 30 Length of Service Award Program points.

^dThe percentage fell because the new records management system changed the way in which the data are collected.

PROGRAM:

Operations

. PROGRAM ELEMENT:

Fire and Rescue Communications

PROGRAM MISSION:

To ensure rapid and effective emergency assistance to Montgomery County's citizens, including its fire/rescue service and law enforcement agencies

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

Increase the survival rate for patients requiring Advanced Life Support (ALS) services

Decrease fire loss

Decrease fire deaths and injuries

PROGRAM MEASURES ^a	FY03 ACTUAL	FY04 ACTUAL	FY05 ACTUAL	FY06 BUDGET	FY07 CE REC
Outcomes/Results:			HOTORE	DODGET	OL NEO
Percentage of calls for emergency service processed within 1 minute ^b	52	48	1	5	10
Service Quality:					
Number of formal complaints investigated	137	124	63	80	80
Percentage of transferred emergency calls answered within standard ^c	NA	NA.	25	25	25
Average time to process event, from receipt of call to dispatch (minutes:seconds)				20	20
Emergency medical services events	NA	NA	3:27	1:50	2;00
Fire events	NA	NA	3:54	1:50	2;00
Efficiency:		-		1.00	2,00
Staff cost per 911 call received (\$)	NA	NA	4.24	3.68	3.45
Staff cost per business call received or placed (\$)	NA.	NA NA	3.91	3.43	3.43
Staff cost per 911 dispatch (\$)	NA	NA NA	1.60	1.40	1.50
Total 911 calls per call-taking position per hour	NA	NA.	5.7	6.6	7.1
Total business calls received or placed per call-taking position per hour	NA	NA NA	6.2	8.0	8.0
Total phone calls (911 + business) per call-taking position per hour	NA.	NA NA	12.0	14.6	15.1
Workload/Outputs:			12.0	14.0	15.1
911 calls received by the Fire and Rescue Emergency Communication Center queue ^d					
Fire queue	NA	NA	42,908	51,433	55,000
Emergency medical services queue	NA	NA NA	83,392	90,536	95,000
Overflow 911 calls as the secondary Public Safety Answering Point	NA NA	NA NA	14,337	20,185	95,000 23,000
911 calls processed by Fire and Rescue Communications ^e		14/1	14,557	20,105	23,000
Advanced Life Support dispatches	NA	NA	33,347	29,196	32,000
Basic Life Support dispatches	NA NA	ŇA	44,598	49,579	52,000 52,000
Fire dispatches	NA NA	NA NA	18,459	19,654	21,000
Other dispatches (hazmat, collapse, water, other specialized events)	NA NA	NA.	5,664	1,575	1,700
Alarm and system calls ⁹	NA	NA.	16,283	4,837	5,100
Other administrative calls into 911 lines (no operational action required) ^h	NA	NA	3,501	1.675	2,000
Total - all 911 event types	NA .	NA	121,852	106,516	113,800
Non-911 calls (incoming and outgoing) ⁱ	NA	NA	305,022	340,000	350,000
TOTAL emergency and routine telephone calls handled	NA	NA	445,659	502,154	523,000
Number of training hours provided for new personnel	NA	NA -	23,232	20,000	20,000
Number of in-service staff training hours provided	14,976	22.680	4,760	6,500	6,500
Number of inter-agency tours and briefings	NA	NA.	22	25	25
Number of public tours and briefings	36	26	39	50	50
Inputs:					
Expenditures (\$000)	3,753	4,846	^h 5.877	5,986	6,200
Workyears	44.1	44.1	^h 58.6	58.6	58.6
Number of available CAD (Computer-Aided Dispatch) positions	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0
Number of call-taker positions staffed	NA	NA	2.8	2.8	2.8
Number of dispatch positions staffed	NA	NA	2.8	2.8	2.8
Number of supervisor positions staffed	NA	NA	2.0	2.0	2.0
Notes:					

^aThe new Communications Center involves techology and processes that differ significantly from the previous center. Because of the new technology, more accurate and relevant reporting methods have been implemented. Consequently, most data from prior years are not comparable and are not shown in this display.

Processing time is measured from receipt of the 911 call to the point at which the station or other personnel are alerted. Note that initially 911 calls come into the Police Emergency Communications Center (PECC), which serves as the County's primary Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP). The PSAP "sorts" the call by classifying it as police, fire, or emergency medical services (EMS) and directs it accordingly if the Police ECC cannot pick up within 30 seconds, the call is automatically transferred to the FIre-Rescue ECC as the secondary PSAP, and the Fire-Rescue call taker completes the sorting. This process takes 45 seconds, so it is virtually impossible for the Fire-Rescue ECC to answer a high percentage of 911 calls within the one-minute COMAR standard (which was written mainly for the primary PSAP). The FY03 and FY04 figures shown above include only "sorted" calls that had been answered and transferred by the primary PSAP; the measured time referred to the time from transfer by the PSAP until the station was alerted. However, the FY05 - FY07 figures include all emergency calls (per the intent of the COMAR standard) - "sorted" calls and the longer hold-time "unsorted" calls - that are transferred from the Police ECC to the Fire-Rescue ECC. In addition, starting in FY06, processing time is measured from when the call comes into the Police ECC, not from when it was transferred to the Fire-Rescue ECC. "The standard is ten seconds or two rings (COMAR 12.11.03.09). Because Fire-Rescue is the secondary PSAP, most 911 calls have already rung 45 seconds before being answered (see footnote b). "The "queue" refers to fire and EMS calls that have been answered by the Police ECC, have been transferred to the Fire-Rescue ECC, and are waiting to be answered by the latter.

Excludes incoming cell phone calls and VoIP (internet) phone calls, which were estimated at 286,198 in FY04 and FY05. It is currently not possible to determine whether such calls were handled by Police or by Fire - Rescue communications personnel. (It is estimated that about 85% of such calls are handled by the Police Emergency Communication Center; all calculations herein are based on 15% of this figure.) Includes all chemical, specialty team, undetermined, rescue, training, details (special assignments not available to take calls), and non-specific events.

⁹Includes all other routine and emergency events (system calls [e.g., notification that a system has been taken out of service], drills, etc.) which receive an event number, are entered into the Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) system, and require action.

Includes only routine calls on 911 trunked phone lines of the Fire and Rescue component of the Emergency Communications Center that do not involve any type of administrative or public safety action. Includes all administrative telephone line calls, both incoming and outgoing, that are recorded by VESTA (see below), plus an estimate (92,250) for cellular and VoIP phone calls (see footnote e) and for administrative calls not recorded by VESTA (e.g., calls over direct lines to other stations, other municipalities, and the Police; hospital and utility company notifications; and business calls received). [VESTA is a monitoring system that tracks conventional telephone line calls into each staff member and work area in the Emergency Communications Center; it cannot track administrative offices or unconventional telephone lines such as cell phones and VoIP.]

EXPLANATION:

The Fire-Rescue Communications Center opened its doors on July 20, 2003. The new Center boasts the most technologically advanced facility in the region and is the epicenter for the County's fire, emergency medical services, police, traffic, and emergency management. In addition, the Fire and Rescue 911 Center acts as the secondary public safety answering point for Montgomery County. The Center provides emergency and non-emergency call-taking for all fire, rescue, and emergency medical service needs, with limited primary 911 service at all times and secondary 911 service when police resources are overwhelmed. Center staff provide emergency medical instructions to callers using Emergency Medical Dispatch (EMD) protocols to ensure appropriate medical care prior to the arrival of the responder. In addition to 911 support, the Center provides coordination and support to the County's Emergency Operations Center.

PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES: Police Emergency Communication Center, Montgomery County Department of Technology Services, Montgomery County Office of Emergency Management, Montgomery County Department of Homeland Security, Montgomery County Traffic Management Center, Verizon, Association of Public Safety Communications Officials, Maryland Emergency Management Association, Emergency Services Numbers Board, Northrup Grumman, Emergency Management Center, Emergency Operations Center, Motorola, Montgomery County Radio Shop.

MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES: Fire and Rescue Commission Communication Manual; Emergency Medical Dispatch Protocols; Association of Public Safety Communications Officials Training Program; Code of Maryland Annotated Regulations (COMAR); standards and protocols issued by the National Fire Protection Association, Association of Public Safety Communication Officials, National Emergency Management Association, and Maryland Emergency Management Association; FIREHOUSE software; SafetyPad.

PROGRAM:

Wellness, Safety, and Training

PROGRAM ELEMENT:

Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Medical Services Training

PROGRAM MISSION:

To provide, coordinate, and support the Fire and Rescue Service's current and projected training and educational initiatives in order to maintain or improve all aspects of organizational effectiveness and ensure a safe community

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

- · Protect the lives and property of County residents, businesses, and visitors
- Ensure the health and safety of fire and rescue personnel
- · Provide high value for tax dollars

PROGRAM MEASURES	FY03 ACTUAL	FY04 ACTUAL	FY05 ACTUAL	FY06 BUDGET	FY07 CE REC
Outcome/Results:					02 1120
Number of firefighters trained	812	880	761	975	868
Number of Emergency Medical Technician - Basic providers trained	636	702	701	822	761
(initial and recertification)			, , ,	022	,01
Number of Advanced Life Support ^a providers trained (initial and recertification)	359	374	403	400	401
Service Quality:					
Average student rating of instruction ^b	90	92	91	100	100
Percentage of enrollees completing the course	87	90	89	100	100
Efficiency:	- 			100	100
Average cost per course offered (\$)	3,460	3,575	3,580	3,700	4,000
Average cost per hour of training provided (\$)	40	45	47	50	4,000
Average cost per student per day (\$)	139	146	149	158	166
Average class size	27	28	27	25	25
Courses offered per classroom	50	52	54	52	56 56
Workload/Outputs:					
Number of course offerings	134	134	152	155	185
Number of Standard Training Programs offered	36	36	36	36	36
Number of certified instructors	56	59	62	65	68
Number of persons trained in Orientation classes	659	628	750	1,200	1,240
Number of Advanced Life Support ^a provider course sessions attended (initial and recertification)	1,402	1,424	1,430	1,413	1,440
Number of persons attending Command Development training course sessions	518	487	693	1,265	1,274
Command Officer Professional Development student hours	3,149	3,101	3,151	3,200	3,270
Hours of training provided	0,110	0,101	0,101	3,200	3,270
Orientation	6,134	8,000	7,926	9,000	9,200
Basic training (Essentials of Firefighting and EMT - Basic)	47,595	48,220	51,230	50,250	50,350
Annual recertification	11,724	11,800	11,950	11,850	11,900
Recertification (other than annual recertification)	9,702	9,900	9,775	10,200	10,200
Advanced Life Support training (basic and recertification) ^a	12,500	12,600	12,610	12,800	12,870
Career development training ^c	7,822	10,500	8,760	13,200	13,200
Inputs:				.5,230	10,200
Expenditures (\$000)	2,859	2,378	^d 4,302	6,074	6,074
Workyears	39.7	26.3	^d 50.3	°80.8	°80.8
Notes:			33.5	50.8	60.8

Notes

EXPLANATION:

Service readiness is maintained through basic training and recertifications. The Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Commission has established minimum training standards to be delivered to all career and volunteer personnel who staff fire and emergency medical services units. The Training Division is responsible for training all personnel to these standards.

PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES: Maryland Fire and Rescue Institute, National Registry of Emergency Medical Technicians, Maryland Institute of Emergency Medical Services Systems, Montgomery County Public Schools, Montgomery College - Rockville Campus, National Fire Academy.

MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES: Maryland Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Maryland Fire and Rescue Institute class objectives, Maryland Institute of Emergency Medical Services Systems program standards, U.S. Department of Transportation, National Standard for Emergency Medical Technician - Basic Curriculum, Journal of the American Medical Association.

^aCardiac Rescue Technician - Intermediate, and Emergency Medical Technician - Paramedic.

^bData are from the Fire/Rescue Training Academy Post-Course Evaluation Form. This measure is an average of the overall course ratings provided by students for Fire/Rescue Training Academy courses taken during the fiscal year. The overall ratings combine assessments of five different aspects of each course: the facility, the instructor, visual materials, printed materials, and the course itself. Each student's overall rating is reported as a score of 0 to 100, with 100 being the best.

^cCommand Officer Professional Development and Improvement, Weapons of Mass Destruction, National Incident Managment System training, in-service training, driver's test training, Water Rescue Incident Scene Command, etc.

^dThe increases reflect the need to train additional recruit classes.

PROGRAM:

Wellness, Safety, and Training

PROGRAM ELEMENT:

Safety

PROGRAM MISSION:

To enhance the safety and welfare of career and volunteer personnel in the Fire and Rescue Services in order to reduce injuries, collisions, and workers' compensation claims and to ensure that all personnel and apparatus are available to respond to the needs of the County's citizens

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

- Protect the health and safety of Fire and Rescue personnel and the general public
- Ensure the readiness and availability of Fire and Rescue equipment and personnel to respond to emergency situations
- · Provide high value for tax dollars

PROGRAM MEASURES	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07
	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	CE REC
Outcomes/Results:					02 1120
Number of fire fighter deaths	0	0	0	0	0
Total number of fire fighter injuries (lost time plus medical only)	380	422	482	501	386
Lost time injuries ^a (career staff)	185	134	216	300	216
Lost time injuries ^a (volunteers)	30	153	19	36	30
Medical only injuries (career staff)	112	100	197	125	105
Medical only injuries (volunteers)	53	35	50	40	35
Record-only injuries ^b (career staff)	106	125	127	100	
Record-only injuries ^b (volunteers)	29	23	13		90
Number of injuries occurring at the scene of a fire	115	145		25	20
Total number of vehicle collisions	129	145	113 106	150	110
Vehicle collisions with over \$5,000 damage	6	145		135	106
Vehicle collisions with injuries	6	0 12	4	4	4
Vehicle collisions while backing up ^c	6	12	3	8	4
Number of stations found to have safety violations	14	12	_	3	3
Percentage of stations with no safety violations	68	12 80	5	8	15
Number of personal protective equipment violations found and corrected	150	125	50	90	50
Service Quality:	130	125	100	100	100
Percentage of station safety inspections conducted as scheduled					
- By the station/facility commander	32	0.4			
- By Safety Section personnel	32 34	34 41	20	41	70
Percentage of personal protective equipment inspections conducted as scheduled	34	41	5	41	70
- By the station/facility commander	26	34	44		
- By Safety Section personnel	33	34	41 15	41	70
Number of SCBA ^d units repaired within 5 working days	124	175		41	70
Number of "significant event" investigations completed within 90 days	4	6	700	200	1,300
Efficiency:			5	5	5
Program cost per fire fighter injury (excluding "record only" injuries) (\$)	1,900	0.000	4.050		
Program cost per vehicle collision (\$)	1,700	2,000 1.900	1,652	1,344	1,250
Workload/Outputs:	1,700	1,900	2,182	3,591	3,000
Number of station safety inspections by Safety Section staff	40		_		
Number of personal protective equipment inspections by Safety Section staff	40	34	⁹ 5	21	41
Number of personal protective equipment inspections by Safety Section staff	876	634	⁹ 15	500	1,000
Number of SCBAs inspected and flow tested ^d Number of SCBA repairs conducted	655	665	900	750	1,300
Number of SCBAs tested for fit	2,600	2,900	3,000	500	1,200
Number of SCBA cyclinders inspected	NA	NA	NA	NA	500
Number of compressor air samples taken	NA	NA	NA	NA	2,500
Number of compressor air samples taken Number of compressors serviced	NA	NA	NA	NA	100
Number of collision reports reviewed	NA	NA	NA	NA	60
Number of injury investigation reports reviewed	139	145	106	135	106
Number of community fire safety briefings*	4	4	5	3	216
Inputs:	12	0	6	6	6
Expenditures ^t					
	NA	167,236	231,336	353,738	369,302
Workyears ¹	NA	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Notes:	<u></u> _				

Notes

EXPLANATION:

Prior to July 2003, safety oversight was a collateral responsibility of an Assistant Chief. In August, 2003, four Shift Safety Captains were detailed from the Bureau of Operations to the Safety Office in order to implement the Safety Management Plan. However, the cost savings plan implemented in January 2004 resulted in the return of these officers to the Bureau of Operations. In November of 2005, two captains were detailed from the Operations Divison to the Safety Office as fire safety officers. This brought the total to three fire safety officers, one for each shift. The Safety Office also manages the SCBA/Air Compressor Program and focuses on evaluating the performance and possible replacement of the present SCBA inventory.

PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES: Local fire and rescue departments; Montgomery County Police, Sheriff, and Correction and Rehabilitation departments; Montgomery County Division of Risk Management; Fire-Rescue Training Academy; local, municipal, and county fire departments within the State; Maryland Occupational Safety and Health; National Fire Protection Association.

MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES: Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Service Safety Management Plan, National Fire Protection Association Standard No. 1500 (safety standards), Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration/Maryland Occupational Safety and Health Regulation 1910.134, Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Services internal rules, regulations, policies, and procedures.

^aThese correspond to Workers Compensation Claims.

b"Record only" injuries are reported but involve neither lost time nor a need for medical consultation or care.

^cThese accidents are deemed highly preventable and are the focus of a major accident reduction initiative.

^dSCBA = Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus.

^eThe Safety Office occasionally assists the Public Information Office with risk reduction presentations.

Prior to FY04, the resources associated with safety activities were not distinguished from other expenditures.

⁹The number of safety inspections of gear and stations conducted by the Saftey Office staff was significantly reduced in FY05 due to the loss of the Safety Captain 24-hour shift positions. The latter positions will return for three months during the next budget cycle.